# Rogers-Shephard type inequalities for the lattice point enumerator

#### Jesús Yepes Nicolás

Universidad de Murcia

(joint work with David Alonso-Gutiérrez and Eduardo Lucas)

Geometry, Analysis and Convexity

Sevilla

June 24th, 2022

### Preliminaries

### The Brunn-Minkowski inequality ((1/n)-concave form)

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty compact sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/n} \ge (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \lambda \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$$

### Preliminaries

### The Brunn-Minkowski inequality ((1/n)-concave form)

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty compact sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/n} \ge (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \lambda \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$$

Here vol(·) is the Lebesgue measure and  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  denotes the Minkowski sum of A and B, and  $rA = \{ra : a \in A\}$  for any  $r \ge 0$ .



### The Brunn-Minkowski inequality ((1/n)-concave form)

Let  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty compact sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ ,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\mathcal{K}+\lambda L)^{1/n} \geq (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K})^{1/n} + \lambda \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$$

Here vol(·) is the Lebesgue measure and  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  denotes the Minkowski sum of A and B, and  $rA = \{ra : a \in A\}$  for any  $r \ge 0$ .



 $\rightarrow$  It yields the isoperimetric inequality in a few lines: Among all sets with a fixed surface area measure, Euclidean balls maximize the volume.

# The Rogers-Shephard inequality

The Rogers-Shephard inequality, originally proven in 1957, provides us with an upper bound for the volume of K - K:

#### The Rogers-Shephard inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(K-K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

The Rogers-Shephard inequality, originally proven in 1957, provides us with an upper bound for the volume of K - K:

The Rogers-Shephard inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(K - K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

This relation for K - K can be generalized to the Minkowski addition of two convex bodies as follows:

Let  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be convex bodies. Then  $\operatorname{vol}(K + L)\operatorname{vol}(K \cap (-L)) \leq \binom{2n}{n}\operatorname{vol}(K)\operatorname{vol}(L).$  In 1958 Rogers and Shephard gave the following lower bound for the volume of K in terms of the volumes of a projection and a maximal section of K:

#### Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

Let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\operatorname{vol}_k(K\cap H) \leq \binom{n}{k}\operatorname{vol}(K).$$

In 1958 Rogers and Shephard gave the following lower bound for the volume of K in terms of the volumes of a projection and a maximal section of K:

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

Let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\operatorname{vol}_k(K\cap H) \leq \binom{n}{k}\operatorname{vol}(K).$$

 $\mathcal{L}_k^n$  denotes the set of all *k*-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whereas  $P_{H^{\perp}}K$  is the orthogonal projection of *K* onto *H*.

In 1958 Rogers and Shephard gave the following lower bound for the volume of K in terms of the volumes of a projection and a maximal section of K:

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

Let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\operatorname{vol}_k(K\cap H) \leq \binom{n}{k}\operatorname{vol}(K).$$

 $\mathcal{L}_k^n$  denotes the set of all *k*-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whereas  $P_{H^{\perp}}K$  is the orthogonal projection of *K* onto *H*.

The Rogers-Shephard inequality for the difference body can be derived from the latter result for sections & projections

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}.$$

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}.$$

This result provides one with an alternative unified proof of:

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}.$$

This result provides one with an alternative unified proof of:

• Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}.$$

This result provides one with an alternative unified proof of:

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality → consider the function f : P<sub>H<sup>⊥</sup></sub>K → ℝ<sub>>0</sub> given by

 $f(x) = \operatorname{vol}_k \big( K \cap (x + H) \big)^{1/k}$ 

for  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ , p = k (and n' = n - k), and let  $q \to \infty$ .

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

This result provides one with an alternative unified proof of:

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality → consider the function f : P<sub>H<sup>⊥</sup></sub>K → ℝ<sub>>0</sub> given by

 $f(x) = \operatorname{vol}_k \big( K \cap (x + H) \big)^{1/k}$ 

for  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ , p = k (and n' = n - k), and let  $q \to \infty$ .

• Rogers-Shephard's inequality for K - K

#### Berwald's inequality

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

This result provides one with an alternative unified proof of:

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality → consider the function f : P<sub>H<sup>⊥</sup></sub>K → ℝ<sub>>0</sub> given by

 $f(x) = \operatorname{vol}_k \big( K \cap (x + H) \big)^{1/k}$ 

for  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ , p = k (and n' = n - k), and let  $q \to \infty$ .

• Rogers-Shephard's inequality for  $K - K \rightsquigarrow$  consider the function  $f: K - K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \operatorname{vol}(K \cap (x+K))^{1/n},$$

p = n, and let  $q \to \infty$ .

Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

In many of such results, the lattice point enumerator  $G_n(\cdot)$  plays the role of the volume  $vol(\cdot)$  in the continuous setting.

Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

In many of such results, the lattice point enumerator  $G_n(\cdot)$  plays the role of the volume  $vol(\cdot)$  in the continuous setting.



Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

In many of such results, the lattice point enumerator  $G_n(\cdot)$  plays the role of the volume  $vol(\cdot)$  in the continuous setting.



Some recent versions of classical inequalities for  $G_n(\cdot)$ 

• Alexander, Henk and Zvavitch (2017): Koldobsky's slicing inequality.

Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

In many of such results, the lattice point enumerator  $G_n(\cdot)$  plays the role of the volume  $vol(\cdot)$  in the continuous setting.



#### Some recent versions of classical inequalities for $G_n(\cdot)$

- Alexander, Henk and Zvavitch (2017): Koldobsky's slicing inequality.
- Berg's thesis (2018): Brunn's inequality.

Nowadays there is a growing interest for studying the discrete analogues of classical (continuous) results.

In many of such results, the lattice point enumerator  $G_n(\cdot)$  plays the role of the volume  $vol(\cdot)$  in the continuous setting.



#### Some recent versions of classical inequalities for $G_n(\cdot)$

- Alexander, Henk and Zvavitch (2017): Koldobsky's slicing inequality.
- Berg's thesis (2018): Brunn's inequality.
- Freyer and Henk (2020): Meyer's inequality, reverse Meyer's inequality and reverse Loomis-Whitney's inequality.

#### The Brunn-Minkowski inequality

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty compact sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\mathcal{K}+\lambda L)^{1/n} \geq (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K})^{1/n} + \lambda \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$$

### Theorem (Iglesias, Y. N., Zvavitch (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $G_n((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L + (-1, 1)^n)^{1/n} \ge (1 - \lambda)G_n(K)^{1/n} + \lambda G_n(L)^{1/n}$ .

The inequality is sharp.

#### The Brunn-Minkowski inequality

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty compact sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\mathcal{K}+\lambda L)^{1/n} \geq (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K})^{1/n} + \lambda \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$$

### Theorem (Iglesias, Y. N., Zvavitch (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $G_n((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L + (-1, 1)^n)^{1/n} \ge (1 - \lambda)G_n(K)^{1/n} + \lambda G_n(L)^{1/n}$ .

The inequality is sharp.

• Jointly with Iglesias and Lucas (2022), and exploiting a functional approach, the latter result can be extended to the case of arbitrary  $t, s \ge 0$  (instead of  $1 - \lambda$  and  $\lambda$ ) by replacing  $(-1, 1)^n$  by  $(-1, \lceil t + s \rceil)^n$ .

### Theorem (Halikias, Klartag, Slomka (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$G_n\left(\frac{K+L}{2}+[0,1]^n\right) \geq \sqrt{G_n(K)G_n(L)}.$$

### Theorem (Halikias, Klartag, Slomka (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_n\left(\frac{K+L}{2}+[0,1]^n\right)\geq\sqrt{\mathrm{G}_n(K)\mathrm{G}_n(L)}.$$

### Theorem (Iglesias, Y. N., Zvavitch (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\operatorname{G}_{n}\left(\frac{K+L}{2}+[0,1]^{n}\right)^{1/n}\geq \frac{\operatorname{G}_{n}(K)^{1/n}+\operatorname{G}_{n}(L)^{1/n}}{2}.$$

### Theorem (Halikias, Klartag, Slomka (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_n\left(\frac{K+L}{2}+[0,1]^n\right)\geq\sqrt{\mathrm{G}_n(K)\mathrm{G}_n(L)}.$$

Theorem (Iglesias, Y. N., Zvavitch (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\operatorname{G}_n\left(\frac{K+L}{2}+[0,1]^n\right)^{1/n}\geq \frac{\operatorname{G}_n(K)^{1/n}+\operatorname{G}_n(L)^{1/n}}{2}.$$

Theorem (Iglesias, Y. N., Zvavitch (2020))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}}{2}+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)^{1/n}\geq \frac{\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{K})^{1/n}+\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{L})^{1/n}}{2}.$$

Taking into account the strong connection between the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the Rogers-Shephard inequality, it is natural to wonder about getting a discrete version of the latter, for  $G_n(\cdot)$ .

Taking into account the strong connection between the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the Rogers-Shephard inequality, it is natural to wonder about getting a discrete version of the latter, for  $G_n(\cdot)$ .

Theorem (Gardner, Gronchi (2001))

Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a convex polygon with integer vertices. Then

$$G_2(P-P) \le 6G_2(P) - b(P) - 5,$$
 (1)

where b(P) denotes the number of integer points in the boundary of P.

Taking into account the strong connection between the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the Rogers-Shephard inequality, it is natural to wonder about getting a discrete version of the latter, for  $G_n(\cdot)$ .

Theorem (Gardner, Gronchi (2001))

Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a convex polygon with integer vertices. Then

$$G_2(P-P) \le 6G_2(P) - b(P) - 5,$$
 (1)

where b(P) denotes the number of integer points in the boundary of P.

However, for an arbitrary convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , one cannot expect to get

$$G_n(K - K) \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}G_n(K).$$

Taking into account the strong connection between the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the Rogers-Shephard inequality, it is natural to wonder about getting a discrete version of the latter, for  $G_n(\cdot)$ .

Theorem (Gardner, Gronchi (2001))

Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a convex polygon with integer vertices. Then

$$G_2(P-P) \le 6G_2(P) - b(P) - 5,$$
 (1)

where b(P) denotes the number of integer points in the boundary of P.

However, for an arbitrary convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , one cannot expect to get

$$G_n(K - K) \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}G_n(K).$$

Indeed, just considering  $K = [-1/2, 1/2]^n$  one would obtain  $3^n \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}$ , which is false for n = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Taking into account the strong connection between the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the Rogers-Shephard inequality, it is natural to wonder about getting a discrete version of the latter, for  $G_n(\cdot)$ .

Theorem (Gardner, Gronchi (2001))

Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a convex polygon with integer vertices. Then

$$G_2(P - P) \le 6G_2(P) - b(P) - 5,$$
 (1)

where b(P) denotes the number of integer points in the boundary of P.

However, for an arbitrary convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , one cannot expect to get

$$\mathrm{G}_n(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \mathrm{G}_n(\mathcal{K}).$$

Indeed, just considering  $K = [-1/2, 1/2]^n$  one would obtain  $3^n \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}$ , which is false for n = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Furthermore, as pointed out by Freyer&Henk (2021), there is neither a possible extension of (1) in dimension  $n \ge 3$  nor even a hope to obtain  $G_n(K - K) \le c_n G_n(K)$  for some constant  $c_n > 0$ , for  $n \ge 3$ .

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{L})\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K}\cap(-\mathcal{L})) \leq \binom{2n}{n}\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{K})\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{L}).$$

J. Yepes Nicolás (jointly with D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and E. Lucas) Rogers-Shephard type inequalities for the lattice point enumerator

$$\operatorname{vol}(K + L)\operatorname{vol}(K \cap (-L)) \leq \binom{2n}{n}\operatorname{vol}(K)\operatorname{vol}(L).$$

Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty convex bounded sets. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_n(K+L)\mathrm{G}_n\big(K\cap(-L)\big)\leq \binom{2n}{n}\mathrm{G}_n\big(K+(-1,1)^n\big)\mathrm{G}_n\big(L+(-1,1)^n\big).$$

J. Yepes Nicolás (jointly with D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and E. Lucas)

$$\operatorname{vol}(K - K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

$$\operatorname{vol}(K + L)\operatorname{vol}(K \cap (-L)) \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}\operatorname{vol}(K)\operatorname{vol}(L).$$

Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty convex bounded sets. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_n(K+L)\mathrm{G}_n\big(K\cap(-L)\big)\leq \binom{2n}{n}\mathrm{G}_n\big(K+(-1,1)^n\big)\mathrm{G}_n\big(L+(-1,1)^n\big).$$

J. Yepes Nicolás (jointly with D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and E. Lucas)

$$\operatorname{vol}(K - K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \operatorname{vol}(K).$$

Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty convex bounded set. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_n(K-K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \mathbf{G}_n\left(K + \left(-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)^n\right)$$

$$\operatorname{vol}(K + L)\operatorname{vol}(K \cap (-L)) \leq {\binom{2n}{n}}\operatorname{vol}(K)\operatorname{vol}(L).$$

Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty convex bounded sets. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_n(K+L)\mathrm{G}_n\big(K\cap(-L)\big)\leq \binom{2n}{n}\mathrm{G}_n\big(K+(-1,1)^n\big)\mathrm{G}_n\big(L+(-1,1)^n\big).$$

J. Yepes Nicolás (jointly with D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and E. Lucas)

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

Let  $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\operatorname{vol}_k(K\cap H) \leq \binom{n}{k}\operatorname{vol}(K).$$

Section/Projection Rogers-Shephard's inequality

Let  $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body. Then

$$\mathrm{vol}_{n-k}(\mathcal{P}_{H^{\perp}}\mathcal{K})\mathrm{vol}_k(\mathcal{K}\cap H)\leq \binom{n}{k}\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $H = lin\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty convex bounded set. Then

$$\mathrm{G}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\mathrm{G}_k(K\cap H) \leq \binom{n}{k}\mathrm{G}_n(K+(-1,1)^n).$$

### A Berwald type inequality for the lattice point enumerator

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

### A Berwald type inequality for the lattice point enumerator

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

#### Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function with  $f(0) = |f|_{\infty}$ . Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{G}_n(K)}\sum_{x\in K\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}f^q(x)\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{G}_n(K)}\sum_{x\in (K+(-1,1)^n)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}(f^\diamond)^p(x)\right)^{1/p}.$$

# A Berwald type inequality for the lattice point enumerator

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be a convex body with dim K = n and let  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function. Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{q}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{vol}(K)}\int_{K}f^{p}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

#### Theorem (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin and let  $f: K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a concave function with  $f(0) = |f|_{\infty}$ . Then, for any 0 ,

$$\left(\frac{\binom{n+q}{n}}{\operatorname{G}_n(K)}\sum_{x\in K\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}f^q(x)\right)^{1/q}\leq \left(\frac{\binom{n+p}{n}}{\operatorname{G}_n(K)}\sum_{x\in (K+(-1,1)^n)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}(f^\diamond)^p(x)\right)^{1/p}.$$

For a function  $\phi$  defined on K we denote by  $\phi^{\diamond} := \overline{\phi} \star \chi_{(-1,1)^n}$ , where

$$ar{\phi}(x):=\left\{egin{array}{cc} \phi(x) & ext{if } x\in K, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

J. Yepes Nicolás (jointly with D. Alonso-Gutiérrez and E. Lucas)

From this, arguing as in the continuous setting (for the above-mentioned functions and values of p, and letting  $q \to \infty$ ), we get the following:

From this, arguing as in the continuous setting (for the above-mentioned functions and values of p, and letting  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ), we get the following:

Corollary (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_n(K-K)\mathrm{vol}(K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{x \in (K-K+(-1,1)^n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{z \in (-1,1)^n} \mathrm{vol}\Big(K \cap \big((x+z)+K\big)\Big).$$

From this, arguing as in the continuous setting (for the above-mentioned functions and values of p, and letting  $q \rightarrow \infty$ ), we get the following:

### Corollary (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_n(K-K)\mathrm{vol}(K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{x \in (K-K+(-1,1)^n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{z \in (-1,1)^n} \mathrm{vol}\Big(K \cap \big((x+z)+K\big)\Big).$$

$$\mathbf{G}_n(K+L)\mathrm{vol}(K\cap(-L)) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{x \in (K+L+(-1,1)^n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{z \in (-1,1)^n} \mathrm{vol}(K\cap((x+z)-L)).$$

From this, arguing as in the continuous setting (for the above-mentioned functions and values of p, and letting  $q \to \infty$ ), we get the following:

### Corollary (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_n(K-K)\mathrm{vol}(K) \leq \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{x \in (K-K+(-1,1)^n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{z \in (-1,1)^n} \mathrm{vol}\Big(K \cap \big((x+z)+K\big)\Big).$$

### Corollary (Alonso-Gutiérrez, Lucas, Y. N. (2022+))

Let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{L}_k^n$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex bounded set containing the origin. Then

$$\mathbf{G}_{n-k}(P_{H^{\perp}}K)\operatorname{vol}_{k}(K\cap H) \leq {n \choose k} \sum_{x \in (P_{H^{\perp}}K + C_{H^{\perp}}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \sup_{z \in C_{H^{\perp}}} \operatorname{vol}_{k} \Big(K \cap \big((x+z) + H\big)\Big).$$

Here we also prove that these new discrete analogues for  $G_n(\cdot)$  imply the corresponding results involving the volume  $vol(\cdot)$ .

### Discrete vs Continuous

Here we also prove that these new discrete analogues for  $G_n(\cdot)$  imply the corresponding results involving the volume  $vol(\cdot)$ .

► For the R-S type inequalities, it can be easily seen by means of the following well-known fact:

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{G}_n(rK)}{\mathrm{vol}(rK)}=1.$$

### Discrete vs Continuous

Here we also prove that these new discrete analogues for  $G_n(\cdot)$  imply the corresponding results involving the volume  $vol(\cdot)$ .

► For the R-S type inequalities, it can be easily seen by means of the following well-known fact:

$$\lim_{K\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{G}_n(rK)}{\mathrm{vol}(rK)}=1.$$

► For the Berwald type inequalities, we exploit the following:

Given  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and a concave function  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{r^n}\sum_{x\in(rK)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}f\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)\right]=\int_K f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

### Discrete vs Continuous

Here we also prove that these new discrete analogues for  $G_n(\cdot)$  imply the corresponding results involving the volume  $vol(\cdot)$ .

► For the R-S type inequalities, it can be easily seen by means of the following well-known fact:

$$\lim_{K\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{G}_n(rK)}{\mathrm{vol}(rK)}=1.$$

► For the Berwald type inequalities, we exploit the following:

Given  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and a concave function  $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{r^n}\sum_{x\in(rK)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}f\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)\right]=\int_K f(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

This is due to the fact that f is Riemann integrable (because it is concave on the convex set K, whose boundary has null measure).

# Rogers-Shephard type inequalities for the lattice point enumerator

#### Jesús Yepes Nicolás

Universidad de Murcia

(joint work with David Alonso-Gutiérrez and Eduardo Lucas)

Geometry, Analysis and Convexity

Sevilla

June 24th, 2022

### Thank you very much!!

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)