# Discretization of geometric inequalities via the lattice point enumerator

geOmetry, anaLysis & convExity June 20 – 24, 2022

Eduardo Lucas Marín<sup>1</sup>

Joint work with David Alonso-Gutiérrez<sup>2</sup>, María A. Hernández Cifre<sup>3</sup>, David Iglesias<sup>4</sup> and Jesús Yepes Nicolás<sup>5</sup>

1, 3, 4, 5 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia. 2 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Zaragoza.

#### Theorem

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $\operatorname{vol}(K + L)^{1/n} \ge \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

#### Theorem

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $\operatorname{vol}(K + L)^{1/n} \ge \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

Naturally, due to the homogeneity of vol of degree *n*, for any  $\lambda, \mu \ge 0$  $\operatorname{vol}(\lambda K + \mu L)^{1/n} \ge \lambda \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \mu \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

#### Theorem

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $\operatorname{vol}(K + L)^{1/n} \ge \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

Naturally, due to the homogeneity of vol of degree *n*, for any  $\lambda, \mu \ge 0$  $\operatorname{vol}(\lambda K + \mu L)^{1/n} \ge \lambda \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \mu \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

As a consequence of the (weighed) arithmetic and geometric means inequality, we can obtain:

#### Corollary

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $vol((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L) \ge vol(K)^{1-\lambda}vol(L)^{\lambda}$  for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ 

#### Theorem

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $\operatorname{vol}(K + L)^{1/n} \ge \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

Naturally, due to the homogeneity of vol of degree *n*, for any  $\lambda, \mu \ge 0$  $\operatorname{vol}(\lambda K + \mu L)^{1/n} \ge \lambda \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1/n} + \mu \operatorname{vol}(L)^{1/n}$ .

As a consequence of the (weighed) arithmetic and geometric means inequality, we can obtain:

#### Corollary

Given  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we have  $vol((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L) \ge vol(K)^{1-\lambda}vol(L)^{\lambda}$  for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ 

Note: The inequality can be extended to arbitrary non-empty compact sets, and even to more general measurable families.

#### Functional counterpart:

#### Theorem: Prékopa-Leindler inequality

Let  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  and let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be Lebesgue integrable functions verifying

$$h((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \ge f(x)^{1-\lambda}g(y)^{\lambda}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Functional counterpart:

#### Theorem: Prékopa-Leindler inequality

Let  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  and let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be Lebesgue integrable functions verifying

$$h((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \ge f(x)^{1-\lambda}g(y)^{\lambda}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \ge \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx\right)^{1-\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx\right)^{\lambda}$$

#### Generalization of Prékopa-Leindler:

#### Theorem: Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Let  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , let  $-1/n \le p \le \infty$  and let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be Lebesgue integrable functions verifying

$$h((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \ge \mathcal{M}_p^{\lambda}(f(x), g(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Generalization of Prékopa-Leindler:

#### Theorem: Borell-Brascamp-Lieb inequality

Let  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , let  $-1/n \le p \le \infty$  and let  $f, g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be Lebesgue integrable functions verifying

$$h((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \ge \mathcal{M}_p^{\lambda}(f(x), g(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \geq \mathcal{M}_{\frac{p}{np+1}}^{\lambda} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx \right).$$

In general  $h_{K+L} = h_K + h_L$ .

#### Definition (Firey (1962))

Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin in their interior. Then the p-sum  $K +_p L$  is the unique convex body such that

$$h_{K+_pL} = \left(h_K^p + h_L^p\right)^{1/p}$$

In general  $h_{K+L} = h_K + h_L$ .

#### Definition (Firey (1962))

Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin in their interior. Then the p-sum  $K +_p L$  is the unique convex body such that

 $h_{K+_pL} = \left(h_K^p + h_L^p\right)^{1/p}.$ 

#### Definition (Lutwak, Yang, Zhang (2012))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets and let  $p \ge 1$ . Then

$$K +_{p} L = \left\{ (1 - \mu)^{1/q} x + \mu^{1/q} y : x \in K, y \in L, \ \mu \in [0, 1] \right\},$$

where  $q \in [1, +\infty]$  is the Hölder conjugate of p, i.e., such that 1/p + 1/q = 1.

May 28, 2022 - Discretization of geometric inequalities via the lattice point enumerator

- p = 1:  $K +_1 L = K + L$  (Minkowski addition).
- $p = \infty$ :  $K +_{\infty} L = \operatorname{conv}(K \cup L)$  (convex hull).
- If  $p \leq q$  then:
  - $K +_q L \subset K +_p L$ .
  - $(1 \lambda) \cdot K +_p \lambda \cdot L \subset (1 \lambda) \cdot K +_q \lambda \cdot L.$



- p = 1:  $K +_1 L = K + L$  (Minkowski addition).
- $p = \infty$ :  $K +_{\infty} L = \operatorname{conv}(K \cup L)$  (convex hull).
- If  $p \leq q$  then:

• 
$$K +_q L \subset K +_p L$$
.

• 
$$(1 - \lambda) \cdot K +_p \lambda \cdot L \subset (1 - \lambda) \cdot K +_q \lambda \cdot L.$$



#### Theorem (Firey (1962), Lutwak, Yang, Zhang (2012))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be non-empty bounded sets, and let  $p \ge 1$ . Then

$$\operatorname{vol}(K +_p L)^{p/n} \ge \operatorname{vol}(K)^{p/n} + \operatorname{vol}(L)^{p/n}$$

## Definition

Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , the Wulff shape of f is

$$W(f) = \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq f(u)\}.$$

#### Definition

Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , the Wulff shape of f is

$$W(f) = \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq f(u)\}.$$

Then, for any  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin,  $K = W(h_K)$ . Thus, for any  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin and any  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$(1-\lambda)\cdot K+_p\lambda\cdot L=W(((1-\lambda)h_K^p+\lambda h_L^p)^{1/p}).$$

#### Definition

Let  $f: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , the Wulff shape of f is

$$W(f) = \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq f(u)\}.$$

Then, for any  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin,  $K = W(h_K)$ . Thus, for any  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$  containing the origin and any  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$(1-\lambda)\cdot K+_p\lambda\cdot L=W\big(((1-\lambda)h_K^p+\lambda h_L^p)^{1/p}\big).$$

This definition can now be extended to  $0 \le p < 1$ , in particular,

$$(1-\lambda)\cdot K +_{o} \lambda \cdot L = W(h_{K}^{1-\lambda}h_{L}^{\lambda}).$$

Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang conjectured:

Conjecture - The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies, and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\cdot K+_{o}\lambda\cdot L)\geq \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1-\lambda}\operatorname{vol}(L)^{\lambda}.$$
 (1)

Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang conjectured:

Conjecture - The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies, and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\cdot K+_{o}\lambda\cdot L)\geq \operatorname{vol}(K)^{1-\lambda}\operatorname{vol}(L)^{\lambda}.$$

- *n* = 2 (Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang, Zhang, 2012)
- Unconditional bodies for p = 0 (Saroglou, 2015)
- Unconditional bodies for 0 (Marsiglietti, 2015)
- Symmetric w.r.t. *n* independent hyperplanes (Böröczky, Kalantzopoulos, 2020)

(1

## Definition

A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Sometimes we will further require non-degeneracy (i.e. full dimensionality).

## Definition

A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Sometimes we will further require non-degeneracy (i.e. full dimensionality).

#### **Proposition**

Every lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as  $A\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Definition

A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Sometimes we will further require non-degeneracy (i.e. full dimensionality).

#### **Proposition**

Every lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as  $A\mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

The objects of study in this setting can be

- Discrete sets  $A \subset \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow$  Cardinality |A|.
- Convex bodies  $K \subset \mathcal{K}^n \longrightarrow$  Lattice point enumerator  $G(K) = |K \cap \mathcal{L}|$ .

# Discreticing Brunn-Minkowski for the cardinality

A discrete Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the classical form does not exist neither for the cardinality nor for the lattice point enumerator.

• For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  finite:  $|A + B| \ge |A| + |B| - 1$ .

A discrete Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the classical form does not exist neither for the cardinality nor for the lattice point enumerator.

• For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  finite:  $|A + B| \ge |A| + |B| - 1$ .

• Ruzsa (1994):  $|A + B| \ge |A| + n|B| - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  when  $|B| \le |A|$  and  $\dim(A + B) = n$ .

# Discreticing Brunn-Minkowski for the cardinality

- For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  finite:  $|A + B| \ge |A| + |B| 1$ .
- Ruzsa (1994):  $|A + B| \ge |A| + n|B| \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  when  $|B| \le |A|$  and  $\dim(A + B) = n$ .
- Gardner & Gronchi (2001):  $|A + B| \ge \left| D^B_{|A|} + D^B_{|B|} \right|$  when dim B = n.

- For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  finite:  $|A + B| \ge |A| + |B| 1$ .
- Ruzsa (1994):  $|A + B| \ge |A| + n|B| \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  when  $|B| \le |A|$  and  $\dim(A + B) = n$ .
- Gardner & Gronchi (2001):  $|A + B| \ge \left| D^B_{|A|} + D^B_{|B|} \right|$  when dim B = n.
- Hernández Cifre, Iglesias & Yepes Nicolás (2018):  $|\bar{A} + B|^{1/n} > |A|^{1/n} + |B|^{1/n}.$

- For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  finite:  $|A + B| \ge |A| + |B| 1$ .
- Ruzsa (1994):  $|A + B| \ge |A| + n|B| \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  when  $|B| \le |A|$  and  $\dim(A + B) = n$ .
- Gardner & Gronchi (2001):  $|A + B| \ge \left| D^B_{|A|} + D^B_{|B|} \right|$  when dim B = n.
- Hernández Cifre, Iglesias & Yepes Nicolás (2018):  $|\bar{A}+B|^{1/n}\geq |A|^{1/n}+|B|^{1/n}.$
- Iglesias, Yepes Nicolás & Zvavitch (2020):

$$|A + B + \{0, 1\}^n|^{1/n} \ge |A|^{1/n} + |B|^{1/n}.$$

# Discreticing Brunn-Minkowski for G(K)

# Theorem (Iglesias, Yepes Nicolás, Zvavitch (2020))

Let *K*, *L* be non-empty bounded sets and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$G((1-\lambda)K+\lambda L+(-1,1)^n)^{1/n}\geq (1-\lambda)G(K)^{1/n}+\lambda G(L)^{1/n}.$$

# Discreticing Brunn-Minkowski for G(K)

# Theorem (Iglesias, Yepes Nicolás, Zvavitch (2020))

Let K, L be non-empty bounded sets and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$G((1-\lambda)K+\lambda L+(-1,1)^n)^{1/n}\geq (1-\lambda)G(K)^{1/n}+\lambda G(L)^{1/n}.$$

Taking  $\lambda = 1/2$ 

Let K, L be non-empty bounded sets. Then

$$\begin{split} & G\left(\frac{K+L}{2} + [0,1]^n\right) \geq \sqrt{G(K)G(L)} \\ & G\left(\frac{K+L}{2} + [0,1]^n\right) \geq \frac{G(K)^{1/n} + G(L)^{1/n}}{2} \end{split}$$

(Halikias, Klartag & Slomka) (Iglesias, Yepes Nicolás & Zvavitch)

# **Linear results**

# Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

Let  $t, s \ge 0$  and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  non-empty bounded sets such that G(K)G(L) > 0. Then

$$G(tK+sL+(-1,\lceil t+s\rceil)^n)^{1/n} \ge tG(K)^{1/n}+sG(L)^{1/n}.$$
(2)

The inequality is sharp.

# **Linear results**

# Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

Let  $t, s \ge 0$  and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  non-empty bounded sets such that G(K)G(L) > 0. Then

$$G(tK + sL + (-1, \lceil t + s \rceil)^n)^{1/n} \ge tG(K)^{1/n} + sG(L)^{1/n}.$$
 (2)

The inequality is sharp.

#### Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

Let  $t, s \ge 0$  and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  non-empty bounded sets such that G(K)G(L) > 0. Then (2) implies

$$\operatorname{vol}(tK+sL)^{1/n} \geq \operatorname{tvol}(K)^{1/n} + \operatorname{svol}(L)^{1/n}$$

#### that is, the classical Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

# L<sub>p</sub> results

#### Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L., Yepes Nicolás (2021))

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \ge 1$ , and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded sets with G(K)G(L) > 0. Then

$$G((1-\lambda)\cdot K+_p\lambda\cdot L+(-1,1)^n)^{p/n}\geq (1-\lambda)G(K)^{p/n}+\lambda G(L)^{p/n}.$$
 (3)

The inequality is sharp and the cube

# L<sub>p</sub> results

## Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L., Yepes Nicolás (2021))

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \ge 1$ , and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded sets with G(K)G(L) > 0. Then

$$G((1-\lambda)\cdot K+_p\lambda\cdot L+(-1,1)^n)^{p/n}\geq (1-\lambda)G(K)^{p/n}+\lambda G(L)^{p/n}.$$
 (3)

The inequality is sharp and the cube

#### Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L., Yepes Nicolás (2021))

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \ge 1$ , and let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded sets with G(K)G(L) > 0. Then (3) implies

$$\operatorname{vol}((1-\lambda)\cdot K+_p\lambda\cdot L)^{p/n}\geq (1-\lambda)\operatorname{vol}(K)^{p/n}+\lambda\operatorname{vol}(L)^{p/n},$$

that is, the continuous L<sub>p</sub> Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

# Lo results

## Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L. (2021))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If either K, L are unconditional convex bodies or n = 2, then

$$G\left((1-\lambda)\cdot\left(K+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+_{o}\lambda\cdot\left(L+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\right)$$
$$\geq G(K)^{1-\lambda}G(L)^{\lambda}.$$

# $L_{o}$ results

## Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L. (2021))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If either K, L are unconditional convex bodies or n = 2, then

$$G\left((1-\lambda)\cdot\left(K+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+_{o}\lambda\cdot\left(L+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\right)$$
$$\geq G(K)^{1-\lambda}G(L)^{\lambda}.$$

• The cubes cannot be reduced.

# $L_{o}$ results

# Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L. (2021))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If either K, L are unconditional convex bodies or n = 2, then

$$G\left((1-\lambda)\cdot\left(K+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+_{o}\lambda\cdot\left(L+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\right)$$
$$\geq G(K)^{1-\lambda}G(L)^{\lambda}.$$

- The cubes cannot be reduced.
- It implies  $vol((1 \lambda) \cdot K +_o \lambda \cdot L) \ge vol(K)^{1-\lambda}vol(L)^{\lambda}$ , that is, the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, for both unconditional convex bodies or when n = 2.

# Lo results

# Theorem (Hernández Cifre, L. (2021))

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be centrally symmetric convex bodies and let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . If either K, L are unconditional convex bodies or n = 2, then

$$G\left((1-\lambda)\cdot\left(K+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+_{\mathsf{o}}\lambda\cdot\left(L+\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^n\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\right)$$
$$\geq G(K)^{1-\lambda}G(L)^{\lambda}.$$

- The cubes cannot be reduced.
- It implies  $vol((1 \lambda) \cdot K +_o \lambda \cdot L) \ge vol(K)^{1-\lambda}vol(L)^{\lambda}$ , that is, the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, for both unconditional convex bodies or when n = 2.
- It can be extended to 0 .

#### Theorem

For every  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  with non-empty interior we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}(K)^n}{\operatorname{vol}(K)^{n-1}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{S}(B_n)^n}{\operatorname{vol}(B_n)^{n-1}}.$$

Since  $S(B_n) = nvol(B_n)$ , then equivalently,  $S(K) \ge nvol(K)^{1-\frac{1}{n}}vol(B_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Classically, an argument of symmetrization (Steiner symmetrization) was used to prove the isoperimetric inequality.



A similar technique ("compression") is used in the discrete setting.

# What is a discrete boundary?

We focus on the integer lattice,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , from now on.

#### Definition

We define the boundary of a discrete set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  as  $(A + \{-1, 0, 1\}^n) \setminus A$ .



The isoperimetric inequality admits the "neighbourhood form" given by

```
\operatorname{vol}(K + tB_n) \geq \operatorname{vol}(rB_n + tB_n),
```

where r > o is such that  $vol(rB_n) = vol(K)$ .

The isoperimetric inequality admits the "neighbourhood form" given by

```
\operatorname{vol}(K + tB_n) \geq \operatorname{vol}(rB_n + tB_n),
```

where r > o is such that  $vol(rB_n) = vol(K)$ .

We can generalize this by changing the "distance" involved (i.e. the symmetric convex body being summed):

 $\operatorname{vol}(K + tE) \geq \operatorname{vol}(rE + tE),$ 

where r > 0 is such that vol(rE) = vol(K).

The isoperimetric inequality admits the "neighbourhood form" given by

```
\operatorname{vol}(K + tB_n) \geq \operatorname{vol}(rB_n + tB_n),
```

where r > o is such that  $vol(rB_n) = vol(K)$ .

We can generalize this by changing the "distance" involved (i.e. the symmetric convex body being summed):

 $\operatorname{vol}(K + tE) \geq \operatorname{vol}(rE + tE),$ 

where r > 0 is such that vol(rE) = vol(K).

This allows us to extend the notion of isoperimetric inequalities to more general contexts.

# Discrete isoperimetric inequalities

### Theorem (Radcliffe, Veomett (2012))

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a non-empty finite set and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $|\mathcal{I}_r| = |A|$ . Then

$$|A + \{-1, 0, 1\}^n| \ge |\mathcal{I}_r + \{-1, 0, 1\}^n|.$$

# Discrete isoperimetric inequalities

## Theorem (Radcliffe, Veomett (2012))

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a non-empty finite set and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $|\mathcal{I}_r| = |A|$ . Then

$$|A + \{-1, 0, 1\}^n| \ge |\mathcal{I}_r + \{-1, 0, 1\}^n|$$
.

#### Definition

The extended lattice cube  $\mathcal{I}_r$  is the set of the first r points of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with respect to a suitably defined order.



# Discrete isoperimetric inequalities

#### In order to extend the result to convex bodies we define:

#### Definition

Given  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote

$$\mathcal{C}_r = \left\{ \left( \lambda_1 x_1, \ldots, \lambda_n x_n \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left( x_1, \ldots, x_n \right) \in \mathcal{I}_r, \lambda_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$



The extended lattice cube  $\mathcal{I}_{17}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  (left) and the corresponding extended cube  $\mathcal{C}_{17}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (right).

May 28, 2022 - Discretization of geometric inequalities via the lattice point enumerator

#### Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded set with G(K) > 0 and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $G(\mathcal{C}_r) = G(K)$ . Then

$$G(K + t[-1,1]^n) \ge G(C_r + t[-1,1]^n)$$
 (4)

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

#### Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded set with G(K) > 0 and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $G(\mathcal{C}_r) = G(K)$ . Then

$$G(K + t[-1,1]^n) \ge G(C_r + t[-1,1]^n)$$
 (4)

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

## Theorem (Iglesias, L., Yepes Nicolás (2020))

The discrete isoperimetric inequality (4) implies the classical isoperimetric inequality for non-empty compact sets.

# Discretization of geometric inequalities via the lattice point enumerator

geOmetry, anaLysis & convExity June 20 – 24, 2022



Eduardo Lucas Marín<sup>1</sup>

Joint work with David Alonso-Gutiérrez<sup>2</sup>, María A. Hernández Cifre<sup>3</sup>, David Iglesias<sup>4</sup> and Jesús Yepes Nicolás<sup>5</sup>

1, 3, 4, 5 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia. 2 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Zaragoza.